

Manitoba Provincial & Municipal
Policy Resolutions 2019



2019 - 1 Timely and Effective Implementation of *The Accessibility for Manitobans Act*

Mover: Patrick Falconer

Whereas: Manitobans with disabilities face a multitude of barriers that prevent their full participation in the economy and in their communities in areas including education, job training, communications, housing, public and private transportation, health care and government services.

Whereas: *The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*, *The Canadian Human Rights Act* and *The Manitoba Human Rights Code* reflect an abiding commitment to equitable access for persons with disabilities as a basic human right.

Whereas: In October 2013, CCEDNet – Manitoba supported the call for strong and effective provincial accessibility-rights legislation in Manitoba given that existing laws, policies and mechanisms were unable to provide for the comprehensive prevention and removal of barriers.

Whereas: The Manitoba Legislature passed the landmark *The Accessibility for Manitobans Act* by a unanimous vote of all members in December 2013 to provide for the comprehensive prevention and removal of barriers.

Whereas: Barrier Free Manitoba has called for the province to ensure the timely and effective implementation of *The Accessibility for Manitobans Act* that will meet the following nine principles:

- Cover all disabilities.
- Reflect a principled approach to accessibility that respects human rights enshrined in provincial, national and international law.
- Provide for the development and enactment of mandatory and date-specific standards in all major areas related to accessibility that:
 - Apply to the governmental, private and not-for-profit sectors.
 - Provide for the prevention and systemic removal of barriers at the earliest possible date.
- Establish, operate and report on proactive and comprehensive monitoring and enforcement of these standards.
- Incorporate and sustain ongoing leadership roles for the disability community, as well as meaningful and timely opportunities for consultations with all persons affected by disabilities.
- Be as transparent and open as the law allows, including the public availability of clear, accurate, complete, relevant and timely information on both process and outcomes.
- Provide for public accountability of progress and results.

- Provide for the completion and publication of an inclusive and independent review of the legislation and its implementation within four years of the passage of the legislation.
- Not diminish other legal and human rights protections.

Whereas: *The Accessibility for Manitobans Act* establishes the Minister's responsibility for achieving significant progress toward achieving accessibility within the first decade following passage of the Act (by 2023) but does not establish date-specific requirements for measures beyond the tabling of plans and reports.

Whereas: CCEDNET previously approved a 2016 – 18 policy resolution in support of Barrier-Free Manitoba's call for the timely and effective implementation of *The Accessibility for Manitobans Act*.

Whereas: Only one of five promised accessibility standards was in force after almost five years of implementation and the province has missed many of its own date targets for progress.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet – Manitoba continue to support Barrier Free Manitoba's* call for the timely and effective implementation of *The Accessibility for Manitobans Act*.

*CCEDNet's support will extend to disability community representatives, beyond Barrier Free Manitoba, advocating for the Act's the timely and effective implementation.

2019 - 2 Neighbourhood Renewal Corporations

Mover: North End Community Renewal Corporation

Whereas: Currently in Manitoba there are twelve neighbourhood renewal corporations (NRCs) coordinating community development and community economic development in urban and rural communities characterised by high levels of poverty and a number of other factors associated with older neighbourhoods and municipalities in need of revitalization. These include Thompson, Brandon, Flin Flon, The Pas, Selkirk, Dauphin, Portage la Prairie; and in Winnipeg, neighbourhoods including Daniel McIntyre/St. Matthews, North End, Spence/Central, West Broadway, and Chalmers.

Whereas: NRCs coordinate, plan, and often implement strategies/programming impacting local affordable housing development, community safety, food security, green space development, recreation, healthy living, formal and community-based training, and more. The priorities of NRCs are set by the communities they serve; they are often anchor institutions within their respective communities, and operate as a key source of community social/ economic development, and community safety initiatives throughout Manitoba.

Whereas: A 2017 report on the collective impact of these organizations showed impressive outcomes since the program started in 2000. For instance, NRCs have collectively engaged nearly 560,000 community members - nearly half of the population of Manitoba. They have helped create 1226 jobs through direct employment, pre-employment volunteer opportunities, and training, social enterprise development and local procurement practice. Through NRC coordination, nearly \$9,000,000 has been invested in housing and nearly \$31,000,000 leveraged in affordable housing investment. Nearly \$900,000 has been strategically and effectively invested in community safety.

Whereas: NRCs receive core funding through the Neighbourhoods Alive! Neighbourhood Development Assistance (NDA) fund within the Province of Manitoba Ministry of Municipal Relations. In 2010, the evaluation of the NA! Program found that the NDA funding contributed greatly to improved coordination of community revitalization efforts. However, issues related to safety, economic hardship, and lack of affordable housing continue to affect these and other Manitoba communities. Funding aimed at solving these challenges should be adequate to meet the needs of existing NRCs and any new funding allocated should be consistent with existing NRCs.

Whereas: NRCs leverage their stable, core funding from NDA to access additional public, philanthropic, and private funding, they work across sectors, engaging small and medium-sized enterprises, and they streamline some administrative services to reduce overhead costs while focusing their fundraising efforts on program delivery.

Whereas: The funding available through the NDA has not changed significantly since inception of this program, despite rising costs. Moreover, many activities now also considered core and ongoing by NRCs are funded instead through NA!'s Neighbourhood Renewal Fund (NRF) and many of these are now included in the NRCs Non-Profit Organization (NPO) Strategy multi-year agreements. This use of the NRF in particular leaves less funding available for new and emerging projects by NRCs and other eligible community organizations.

Whereas: The Premier of Manitoba mandated the Minister of Municipal Relations, through the October 2018 mandate letters, to focus on "renewing the delivery of our community development programs to promote

community capacity and sustainability, focus on outcomes, and enhanced community partnerships that best serve the needs of neighbourhoods, communities and vulnerable Manitobans.”

Be It Resolved: That the Province of Manitoba renew its community development programs; both honouring long-term, core funding commitments to Neighbourhood Renewal Corporations and considering potential administrative increases to NDA funding as well as integration of long-term, effective NRF funded NRC programs into NDA agreements.

Be It Further Resolved: that the Province of Manitoba maintain the NRF, enabling more organizational stability and sustainability, continued ability to leverage additional community development funds, and the building of community capacity by NRCs and other eligible community organizations in designated communities.

Be It Further Resolved: that the Province of Manitoba recognize the role that NRCs play as anchor institutions within Manitoban communities by reducing red-tape within Provincial funding streams, renewing reporting and outcome measuring mechanisms in collaboration with NRCs, and making application processes less burdensome.

2019 - 3 Winnipeg-based Neighbourhood Renewal Corporations

Mover: Daniel McIntyre/St. Matthews Community Association

Whereas: Currently there are six neighbourhood renewal corporations (NRCs) doing neighbourhood revitalization work in Winnipeg communities (Central, Daniel McIntyre/St. Matthews, North End, Spence, West Broadway, Chalmers). NRCs coordinate and plan Community Development (CD) and Community Economic Development (CED) in communities with high incidences of poverty. NRCs coordinate local affordable housing development, community safety, food security, green space development, recreation, healthy living, formal and community-based education on CD and CED, coordination and planning, plus more. The priorities of NRCs are set by the communities they serve.

Whereas: NRCs are funded by Neighbourhoods Alive! through the Neighbourhood Development Assistance (NDA) fund within the Province of Manitoba Ministry of Housing and Community Development. Through the Housing Rehabilitation Investment Reserve, the City of Winnipeg funds \$1 million annually to housing programs and activities (e.g. neighbourhood housing plans and coordinators, repair and rehabilitation) that build community capacity and invest in Winnipeg's housing stock.

Whereas: The work of the NRCs advances CED principles and works to reduce poverty by building community capacity and empowering communities to revitalize these priority neighbourhoods, towns and cities. The last completed evaluation of the NA! program in 2010 found that NDA funding contributed "greatly" to improved coordination of neighbourhood revitalization efforts. However, the evaluation found that the original neighbourhoods included in the NA! program continue to experience issues related to safety, economic hardship and lack of affordable housing and that neighbourhood revitalization work is still needed.

Whereas: In 2011, the City of Winnipeg approved the *OurWinnipeg* plan that outlines land use and development activities for 25 years. The City currently undertakes planning activities for the neighbourhoods served by NRCs and described as Reinvestment Areas. *OurWinnipeg* outlines its goals for Reinvestment and Vitality to: deliver a coordinated integrated, and seamless service response to address community needs and priorities that contribute to building healthy communities, and to work in partnership with communities to identify and address neighbourhood issues.

Whereas: NRCs undertake extensive, consultative five-year planning processes for these neighbourhoods, and implement activities that align and overlap with *OurWinnipeg* goals, such as safety and crime prevention, housing, community economic development, transportation, environmental sustainability, recreation, diversity and inclusion and creativity, but are often not recognized by the City's planning processes.

Whereas: The Winnipeg Without Poverty community report recommends that the City of Winnipeg provide core funding to Neighbourhood Renewal Corporations to support community-led efforts toward implementing *OurWinnipeg*, as an important step in achieving a Winnipeg without poverty. This community plan has received traction from political and civil service actors at the municipal level, and steps toward a municipal poverty reduction plan are in place.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet – Manitoba urge the City of Winnipeg to acknowledge that the NRCs are well situated to take a leading role in implementing *OurWinnipeg* in their neighbourhoods and to provide core funding to NRCs to help achieve the goals in the plan.

2019 - 4 On-street Parking for Carshare Vehicles

Moved by: Peg City Car Co-op

Whereas: Peg City Car Co-op is the only carshare operator in Winnipeg, with over 30 vehicles and 1200 members. With carsharing providing more mobility options, and reducing vehicles on the road by 15-20 for every carshare vehicles in operation. Peg City Car Co-op currently relies on private parking arrangements to expand its fleet, which is a barrier to expansion, increases operating costs and is a hindrance to optimal fleet utilization.

Whereas: Providing on street parking is the national and global standard for carshare operators. Carsharing is a form of public transportation and should be recognized as such by the City of Winnipeg. By allowing on street parking, Peg City Car Co-op will have fewer barriers to expand, which means more growth and a reduction of private vehicles on city roads.

Whereas: The City of Winnipeg needs to amend it's policies and/or by-law to allow designated or free-floating on street parking for carshare operators. And where other co-operatives can support another co-operative in its endeavour to improve carsharing policy in Winnipeg.

Whereas: The City of Winnipeg has committed to creating more sustainable communities within *OurWinnipeg* and other guiding documents.

Whereas: Peg City Car Co-op is a locally owned carshare company that provides alternatives to private automobile ownership, creating better mobility options, and saving individuals money. Carsharing allows people to use other modes of transport, while still having access to a vehicle from time to time.

Whereas: Carsharing data shows that for every carshare vehicle, 15-20 vehicles are taken off the road and that on average individuals reduce the amount of kilometres driven in an automobile, which reduces congestion and pollution.

Whereas: The average consumer spends \$8,000 a year on private automobile ownership, of which 80% leaves the local economy. The average carshare member spends \$1,500 a year on usage, which means potentially increased spending in the local economy and in the co-operative sector.

Be it resolved that: The City of Winnipeg amend its policies and/or by-laws to implement an on-street parking program for carshare operators, which will promote the expansion of carsharing in Winnipeg.

2019 - 5 Poverty Reduction Plan & Legislation

Mover: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives – Manitoba

Whereas: Safe and affordable housing, sufficient healthy food, adequate income, access to childcare, education and training at all life stages, supports to attain good jobs, and health services are prerequisites for communities to create solutions to local challenges.

Whereas: A comprehensive and integrated approach must be taken to effectively address the multiple and inter-related causes of poverty and social exclusion. Outcome based targets and timelines on actions and indicators make governments accountable, provide an incentive to follow through with actions to reduce poverty and social exclusion, and provide a basis from which progress can be measured.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba is committed to significantly reducing poverty in Manitoba. It passed *The Poverty Reduction Strategy Act* in 2011 and launched *ALL Aboard: Poverty Reduction and Social Inclusion Strategy* in 2012, which includes seven priority areas for action between 2012 and 2016 and a suite of 21 indicators to track progress. The *Legacy of Phoenix Sinclair: Achieving the Best for All Our Children* report included a recommendation that the Province of Manitoba closely examine *The View from Here 2009* and implement the outstanding recommendations. *The Poverty Reduction Act* requires the province to update its poverty reduction plan every five years and currently the province is overdue on this requirement by 1.5 years.

Whereas: Over 100 organizations endorsed *The View from Here 2015: Manitobans call for a renewed poverty reduction plan*, a comprehensive community-based plan, updated from the original 2009 report, which includes priority recommendations for the provincial government.

Whereas: In *OurWinnipeg*, the City of Winnipeg acknowledged its role in working with community partners to foster an inclusive and equitable community, and in working within its service areas as a collaborator on poverty reduction. Other Manitoba municipalities are taking action to reduce poverty in their communities.

Whereas: Over 100 organizations endorsed *Winnipeg Without Poverty: Calling on the City to Lead*, a comprehensive community-based plan, which includes 50 priority recommendations in 13 theme areas. The City of Winnipeg is moving forward with an inventory of current municipal poverty reduction policies and programs, and recommendations within existing budgets.

Whereas: A comprehensive poverty reduction strategy will help achieve the objectives of community-based poverty reduction and neighbourhood renewal efforts and will provide a framework within which various pieces of a CED policy agenda can come together.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet - Manitoba urge the Province of Manitoba to finalize and release its comprehensive Poverty Reduction Strategy, with outcome-based targets and timelines for measuring results. At the same time, the Province of Manitoba should ensure that cross-governmental policy decisions are in line with both their own, and the federal poverty reduction strategy, and respond to applicable recommendations from any municipal poverty reduction strategies, while striving to meet the recommendations within the community plan, *The View From Here*.

Be It Further Resolved That: CCEDNet - Manitoba urge the City of Winnipeg and Manitoba municipalities to partner with community based organizations and key stakeholders to create and implement comprehensive poverty reduction strategies with targets and timelines.

2019 - 6 Leveraging Canada Revenue Agency Infrastructure to Deliver Financial Assistance to Eligible Manitobans

Moved by: SEED Winnipeg

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba provides financial assistance to low-income Manitobans through Canada Revenue Agency via personal income tax returns (e.g., the Personal Tax Credit and Education Property Tax Credit) as well as through direct income transfers to Manitobans (e.g., Employment and Income Assistance, RentAssist, the Manitoba Child Benefit, and the 55 PLUS Manitoba Income Supplement).

Whereas: These financial assistance programs are integral to ensuring that low-income Manitobans can support themselves and their families to procure necessary goods and services including housing, food, and other personal and household needs.

Whereas: Take-up of programs such as the Manitoba Child Benefit and the 55 PLUS Manitoba Income Supplement may be underutilized as a result of the bureaucracy through which these benefits are delivered, which requires eligible Manitobans to learn about eligibility criteria and application processes and to submit application forms to Provincial Services each year. This process poses an administrative burden for the Province of Manitoba, eligible Manitobans, and non-profit organizations which support eligible Manitobans to apply for these programs.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba has committed to reducing Red Tape in the 2016 and 2017 Speeches from the Throne, through the Red Tape Reduction Task Force, and through the Red Tape Reduction and Government Efficiency Acts. The Province of Manitoba could continue these efforts to increase the efficiency of provincial benefit programs established under the Social Services Administration Act by partnering with the Government of Canada to administer these programs. The Canada Revenue Agency has the existing infrastructure to determine eligibility and administer provincial benefit programs to Canadians, and does so for provinces including Ontario and Saskatchewan, among others.

Whereas: Increasing access to these financial assistance programs will improve the well-being of Manitobans living in poverty or may assist in moving Manitobans out of poverty.

Be It Resolved That: The Province of Manitoba partner with Canada Revenue Agency to administer the 55 PLUS Manitoba Income Supplement and Manitoba Child Benefit through Canada Revenue Agency. Eligibility for the 55 PLUS Program Manitoba Income Supplement should be automatically assessed by utilizing income tax filing data, and benefits paid quarterly through Canada Revenue Agency alongside the GST/HST Credit. Similarly, eligibility for the Manitoba Child Benefit should be automatically assessed by utilizing income tax filing data, and benefits paid monthly through Canada Revenue Agency as a supplement to the Canada Child Benefit.

2019 - 7 Facilitating Access to Birth Certificates for Low-income Manitobans

Moved by: SEED Winnipeg

Whereas: Manitoba-born individuals must obtain birth certificates from the Vital Statistics Agency, which serve as a foundational piece of identification (ID) that is needed in order to obtain photo identification. Having a birth certificate or other identification is required for citizens to access a wide range of government benefits, employment opportunities, and mainstream financial services. The Vital Statistics Agency charges a \$30.00 fee for each birth certificate application. SEED Winnipeg has established an ID Fund to cover the costs of these applications and support community members through the application process; this ID Fund is now available through eight other community-based agencies. However, community-based agencies are unable to keep up with community need for ID.

Whereas: Access to personal identification is integral to social and economic inclusion. The \$30.00 application fee per certificate and application process create barriers for low-income and other vulnerable Manitobans to obtain the ID they require to fully participate in the economy and society. Over three quarters of the community members who applied for birth certificates through the ID Fund identify as Indigenous, access to identification may be seen as a step towards reconciliation in line with the Truth and Reconciliation Call for Action #17.

Whereas: Barriers that prevent low-income and other vulnerable Manitobans from obtaining identification – including cost and complex application processes – should be addressed to facilitate access to identification and the corresponding social and economic benefits. While the Province of Manitoba has invested in providing access to birth certificates for Employment and Income Assistance recipients and through a network of community-based agencies, EIA caseworkers and frontline service providers face difficulties in supporting community members with complex ID applications, and have had to create additional layers of bureaucracy in order to administer these programs.

Whereas: The Minister of Families has a mandate to reform the child welfare system to transition youth out of care, and to work with the Minister of Education & Training to support EIA recipients to obtain employment. Having personal identification is critical for individuals to succeed without the supports of these systems. In addition, facilitating access to ID by Indigenous peoples will advance the Province's commitments to reconciliation and enhanced opportunities for economic development with the full participation of Indigenous communities, as stated in the Mandate for the Minister of Indigenous & Northern Relations. Furthermore, The Province of Manitoba has committed to reducing Red Tape in the 2016 and 2017 Speeches from the Throne, through the Red Tape Reduction Task Force, and through the Red Tape Reduction and Government Efficiency Acts. As the Vital Statistics Act and Vital Statistics Forms, Fees and Registrations Regulation already include a provision to waive fees (Section 9 of the Regulation), this provision could be harnessed to provide more efficient and cost-effective access to birth certificates by low-income Manitobans.

Whereas: Increasing access to birth certificates and secondary forms of identification, including photo identification and Social Insurance Numbers, will enable low-income and other vulnerable community members to increase their incomes through employment and government benefits, to vote in elections, and to participate in the local economy and community.

Be It Resolved That: The Province of Manitoba implement the recommendations outlined in the Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives report on [Access to Identification for Low-Income Manitobans](#), including:

1. Establish a streamlined and non-stigmatizing fee waiver system that enables low-income Manitobans to obtain or replace a birth certificate free of charge.
2. Provide timely supports to ensure that incarcerated individuals be provided with necessary identification, if lacking upon entering incarceration, and youth exiting Child and Family Services be provided the same prior to transitioning out of care.

2019 - 8 Reversing Child Apprehension through Addressing Poverty, and promoting Meaningful Employment through Social Enterprise

Mover: BUILD Inc.

Whereas: Forty per cent of all children in care were zero to two years of age when they first came into care. Almost 60 percent of children in care are permanent wards, meaning they are under the permanent guardianship of a Child and Family Services (CFS) agency and the guardianship rights of their parents have been terminated. Fifty-four per cent of all permanent wards are under the age of 10.

Whereas: Half the participants in the Street Census who are experiencing homelessness had spent time in Child and Family Services Care. Close to two-thirds of participants in the census who had been in CFS care became homeless within a year of leaving care.

Whereas: It costs approximately \$47,000 a year to offer supports to each child in care. Funding for Child and Family Services has increased exponentially over the last few decades, but the number of children in care has only increased.

Whereas: Living in poverty is a major risk factor contributing to child apprehension. 43% of Indigenous people living in Winnipeg – compared to 16% of non-Indigenous people – have recently been estimated to be living under the low-income cut-off. Almost 90 per cent of all children in care are Indigenous. This overrepresentation in the CFS system is caused by a legacy of social exclusion, colonization, economic marginalization, and systemic poverty.

Whereas: Social enterprises in Manitoba providing employment with wrap-around supports for people have successfully provided meaningful employment for individuals formerly involved with CFS. Social enterprises, with a social, economic, and environmental triple bottom line offers a tested alternative to ongoing homelessness, poverty, and social exclusion for individuals involved in CFS, as well as their families.

Whereas: Manitoba Housing has been supporting social enterprise through its procurement for the past ten years, has committed in the Manitoba Social Enterprise Strategy to double the amount of money it spends on social enterprise by 2018, and has more purchasing opportunities for social enterprise. In 2016, Manitoba Housing, in partnership with four social enterprises they contract and Simpact Strategy Group, conducted a Social Return on Investment analysis for Manitoba Housing's social procurement. The analysis found that "through a total investment of \$2.56 M by Manitoba Housing and other government and non-profit agencies, the four social enterprises created a social and economic return on investment with a total present value of \$5.995 M. This means that for every dollar invested \$2.23 of social and economic value was created." Four areas within the Provincial government experienced a total value of \$1.1 M as a result of the work of these social enterprises (Treasury, Justice, Social Services and Public Insurance). This \$2.56 M investment and the economic multiplier represents the extra costs of supporting WISE and their employees, and does not include the cost and economic multiplier of the goods and services received. This supports approximately 220 jobs."

Whereas: Social enterprises see a great return on investment. From the 2014 Manitoba Social Enterprise Sector Survey, over 125 social enterprises in Manitoba covering a wide range of social missions, paid over \$30

million in wages in 2013. Between 2015-2018, 2,433 people gained training or employment opportunities from the Manitoban workforce-integration social enterprises. At any given time, there may be several hundred people in training-focused positions, and several hundred more in employment-focused positions. For an investment that will be made through government procurement regardless, social enterprises offer savings in other government areas, including justice, health, and social services, and are a proven sound investment to achieve social, economic, and environmental goals.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba and Manitoba municipalities have many opportunities to increase purchasing from social enterprises within their various departments and institutions.

Whereas: Reducing the number of children and youth in care through transformational reforms to our child welfare system, guided by the recommendations of the Legislative Review Committee and in partnership with families, communities, Indigenous leaders and the Government of Canada, is a priority of the government of Manitoba; as well as reducing the number of people on social assistance through access to meaningful employment for those who are able to work.

Whereas: Bill 223 passed in the Manitoba Legislature in November 2018, amending the Child and Family Services Act so that no child can be apprehended solely because of poverty.

Whereas: Interventions that address the root causes of crime, focusing on poverty, employment and mental health – as opposed to increasing the number of child apprehensions and increased involvement with the CFS system - have proven to be successful in our province, and lead to better social and economic outcomes.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet – Manitoba urge the Province of Manitoba to immediately reverse its trend toward increasing child apprehension and the number of children and youth in care, and instead focus on addressing the root causes of poverty, including meaningful employment, through:

- a. Directing the Department of Families to lead cross-departmental engagement with social enterprises as a component of poverty reduction, reducing number of children and youth in care, and support Manitobans who wish to work to access education, training, and meaningful work. The Province should adopt a comprehensive government-wide approach to social procurement and purchasing, with a focus on social benefit and community employment clauses.
- b. Ensuring social enterprises can access the necessary wrap-around supports for their employees, including housing, addictions treatment, and cultural healing.
- c. Reinvesting the savings accrued by social enterprise through reductions in child apprehension into community-led, poverty reduction priorities.

2019 - 9 Supporting Community Employment Benefit on Infrastructure

Mover: BUILD Inc.

Whereas: The federal government's infrastructure agreements with provinces, announced in June 2018, includes a community employment benefit on new project spending. The agreement between the federal and provincial government stipulates that when Manitoba is the ultimate recipient of infrastructure dollars estimated at ten million dollars or more, the provincial government will report on community employment benefits provided to at least three of the federal target groups (apprentices, Indigenous peoples, women, persons with disabilities, veterans, youth, new Canadians, or small- medium-sized enterprises and social enterprises). When the provincial government is not the final recipient, they will ensure that the agreement will include community employment benefit reporting. The federal government will contribute one-third of project funding for provincial projects if these conditions are met.

Whereas: Community Employment Benefits support local investment, meaningful employment for marginalized individuals, and support enterprises with social, economic, and environmental missions. Stipulating reporting on Community Employment Benefit introduces accountability on government infrastructure spending, and ensures government dollars are reaching innovative community education, training, and employment practices, such as social enterprise.

Whereas: Addressing the root causes of poverty, child apprehension through the CFS system, or overrepresentation of Indigenous people in the criminal justice system must be focused on economic empowerment and social inclusion. Ensuring community employment benefit on major government infrastructure spending will lead to healthier communities and meaningful employment.

Whereas: The Government of Manitoba can waive the community employment benefit requirement, having to provide the Government of Canada a rationale for not reporting on community employment benefits. This could jeopardize the potential social, economic, and community impacts of the community employment benefit and the potential for community development for targeted groups.

Whereas: Innovative social procurement and purchasing policy change and opening up government infrastructure spending could be a major catalyst for bolstering community economic development.

Be it Resolved that: The Province of Manitoba ensure that community employment benefits be reported on for projects where the provincial government is the ultimate recipient, and when another level is the ultimate recipient, that reporting be required as well. The opt-out clause of this important element for community economic development should not be utilized.

2019 - 10 Restore Business and Enterprise Development Support Services

Moved By: SEED Winnipeg

Whereas: The Department of Growth, Enterprise & Trade's Cooperative Development Services branch, the Entrepreneurship Manitoba Special Operating Agency, and the Crown Corporation Communities Economic Development Fund (CEDF) have restructured, halting some services such as business consulting and self-serve business library research, reducing cooperative development services, and putting others under moratorium such as the CEDF Business Loan program to rural communities and individuals.

Whereas: The Business Services Division of Entrepreneurship Manitoba provided business consulting services to 13,140 clients in 2016-17 but had stopped reporting this service on its performance outcomes for the 2017-18 year (Entrepreneurship Manitoba Annual Report 2016-17, page 25 and Annual Report 2017-18, page 18).

Whereas: The Cooperative Development Branch of Growth, Enterprise & Trade continued a one-third reduction in cooperative developer salary expenditure from the 2016-17 budget to the 2017-18 budget (Growth Enterprise and Trade Annual Report 2016-17, page 46 and Annual Report 2017-18, page 15).

Whereas: Rural individuals and communities need access to a developmental loan fund to leverage funding from commercial lending institutions and other loans. In 2016/2017, CEDF provided \$4.45 million in business loans, which led to 160 jobs created or retained (CEDF Annual Report 2018, page 16). The moratorium of the CEDF business loan program that began in 2017/18 results in significantly less economic development and fewer jobs.

Whereas: Third party non-profit business service providers who deliver business development, cooperative, and social enterprise development services have had funding decreased or cut as a result of the Partnerships for Economic Growth program (a 'consolidation of funding to third party not for profit organizations aligned with the province's economic development priorities') introduced in the Manitoba Government Budget 2017. The reduction of grants as a result of this decision totaled \$2 million in 2017/18 (MB Growth, Enterprise & Trade Annual Report 2017/18, page 51).

Whereas: Community-based agencies continue to deliver small business, cooperative, and enterprise support services; however, some services have ceased, or have been reduced to specific demographic groups. Many community-based agencies are no longer able to reach the general population of low-income and rural Manitobans resulting in inadequate business and enterprise development supports for these Manitobans.

Whereas: Requests to community non-profit organizations for business and enterprise support services have increased since the reduction in services formerly provided by Entrepreneurship Manitoba, even though World Trade Centre Winnipeg does deliver some (but not all) of those services.

Whereas: The Department of Families' Employment and Income Assistance (EIA) program continues to refer low-income individuals to SEED Winnipeg Inc. and Community Futures Development Corporations for EIA recipients who seek self-employment business support services and access to the Enhanced Income

Assistance Program.

Whereas: Micro enterprises and small businesses, including cooperatives and social enterprises, increase household incomes, create employment and democratic workplaces, and reduce government expenditure on social services and income assistance programs. Low-income and rural Manitobans, as well as other groups facing barriers to full participation in the local economy, require tailored services to meet their business development needs. Community-based agencies have a history of demonstrated success in supporting these clients and communities to develop businesses, cooperatives, and social enterprises.

Whereas: There is a gap of business and enterprise support services for Manitobans that is most acutely felt by the self-employed and would-be entrepreneurs who live on low incomes and/or in rural Manitoba.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba has a stated priority to provide economic development services, including cooperative and social enterprise development services to all Manitobans via the Department of Growth, Enterprise & Trade, Entrepreneurship Manitoba, and the Communities Economic Development Fund.

Be It Resolved That: The Province of Manitoba increase the grants provided to third party not-for-profit business and enterprise support services to 2016/17 levels (prior to the 2017/18 cuts of the Partnerships for Economic Growth program) so that low-income and rural Manitobans can receive robust and timely access to accessible business, cooperative, and enterprise development training and consulting supports.

Be It Further Resolved that: The Province of Manitoba fill the vacant co-op developer position of the Cooperative Development Services branch of Growth, Enterprise & Trade.

Be It Further Resolved that: The Province of Manitoba lift the moratorium on the CEDF business loan program for rural communities and individuals.

2019 - 11 Early Learning & Child Care

Mover: Childcare Coalition of Manitoba

Whereas: Investments in early learning and child care stimulate the local economy and create jobs, while also supporting children and families, and promoting women's equality. National data shows that childcare has one of the highest GDP multipliers and the highest employment multiplier of all major industries. Research shows that for every dollar invested in ECEC the estimated net present value of benefits is \$2.54, and the estimated net hourly benefit for children and mothers is \$4.27.

Whereas: Accessible and affordable childcare is a major factor in helping women access education, employment and alleviating child and family poverty.

Whereas: High quality early learning and child care services promote healthy child development, help identify children with additional support needs to provide enhanced early intervention programs, and assist parents in their important role as their child's first teacher and caregiver.

Whereas: Manitoba has a licensed childcare space for less than one in five children.

Whereas: There are over 16,000 names on the Manitoba Online Child Care Registry, a sign of unmet childcare need. Parents in Manitoba wait an average of 15-20 months in order to access a licensed childcare space. Much of Manitoba is a childcare 'desert,' a neighbourhood where few childcare spaces exist.

Whereas: Although Manitoba's childcare fees are low in national comparison, they are still too expensive for many families, and fee subsidies are inadequate. A family has to be well below the poverty line to qualify for a childcare fee subsidy, and even when a very poor family does qualify, they are usually charged a \$2 per day fee for each child.

Whereas: Inadequate provincial operating funding means that it is difficult to recruit and retain qualified early childhood educators, or pay them adequate wages. Estimates are that one-third of Manitoba childcare centres are operating with an exemption to their license because they do not have the required share of qualified early childhood educators.

Whereas: There is a pressing need for culturally and linguistically appropriate and inclusive child care services for Indigenous, Francophone and minority communities. Closing this gap requires more resources and training supports for Indigenous, Francophone and other minority groups to enter the child care profession. The Université de Saint-Boniface (offering the francophone Early Childhood Education diploma program) remains the only public post-secondary institution without its own childcare centre.

Whereas: Many currently operating childcare centres do not receive full operating funding to sustain their services.

Whereas: Manitoba will receive an additional \$47 million federal dollars between 2017 and 2020 under the Bilateral Agreement on Early Learning and Child Care.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba has committed to updating and launching a provincial poverty reduction strategy, of which early learning and not-for-profit childcare must be an essential part.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet – Manitoba urge the Province of Manitoba to work with the early learning and child care sector to:

- a. Create at least 16,000 new funded not-for-profit childcare spaces, while also investing resources to ensure all existing spaces receive ongoing operating funding. Operating funding should be increased to fairly compensate and retain Early Childhood Educators and to enable the delivery of sustainable, community-based, and culturally-relevant early learning and child care programming for families in high socio-economic needs areas.
- b. Invest in initiatives to recruit and train enough early childhood educators to meet the legislated requirements for trained staff in existing centres and homes, as well as the 16,000 new spaces.
- c. Immediately eliminate the \$2.00 daily childcare fee for subsidized families, and increase the income level at which families are eligible for a full fee subsidy, with annual indexing to ensure low income families have effective access to childcare services.
- d. Establish 30 community-based Indigenous head start programs in and around high-needs schools across Manitoba by 2020.

2019 - 12 Implementing Change: Supporting Wa Ni Ska Tan, Indigenous and Grassroots Calls for Ethical Energy in Manitoba

Moved by: Wa Ni Ska Tan: An Alliance of Hydro-Impacted Communities

Whereas: Manitoba lies within the ancestral lands of many diverse First Nations, Metis, and Inuit peoples and are Aboriginal peoples as so defined within the constitution of Canada. Aboriginal peoples in Manitoba possess Aboriginal rights and include inherent and treaty rights, where applicable.

Whereas: Manitoba, through its publicly owned utility Manitoba Hydro, produces 97% of the hydropower used in Manitoba and serves more than 580,000 electric customers. Thus, hydropower is of key economic importance to Manitoba. Manitoba Hydro is governed by a publicly appointed board and the Manitoba Hydro Act.

Whereas: Manitoba Hydro operates 15 generating stations as part of its integrated system in Manitoba, most of which lie on the traditional lands of First Nation communities and there have been many negative consequences as a result of energy production. The production of hydroelectricity has been largely unethical.

Whereas: Manitoba, the Manitoba Hydro Board, and on occasion Canada, have entered into agreements with various Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal communities relating to adverse effects and impacts resulting from Hydro activities in their respective territories such as the Northern Flood Agreement, which has never been fully honoured.

Whereas: There is widespread and polarizing perspectives concerning the various Hydro agreements that have been made to date and the views concerning the implementation of these agreements is equally varied; some communities have raised significant concerns related to environmental damage, impacts on local and regional economies, the loss of traditional lands and livelihoods, impacts to cultural activities, among other concerns.

Whereas: While nominal steps have been taken toward providing economic opportunities in northern Manitoba, particularly where new developments are concerned, many First Nations communities have yet to benefit in a meaningful way from hydro development.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba formally apologized to First Nations communities affected by northern hydropower on January 20th, 2015, acknowledging the damages hydropower has inflicted on the environment, livelihoods, cultural identity, transportation, and way of life, and passed *The Path to Reconciliation Act* in March of 2016. Drawing upon the Truth and Reconciliation's Call to Actions and principles of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, this legislation commits the Province of Manitoba to act in a spirit of reconciliation.

Be It Resolved That: Manitoba Hydro and the Government of Manitoba, along with the various regulatory bodies, need to take responsibility for past, present, and future impacts resulting from Hydro operations throughout the province and redress the cumulative environmental effects and harms caused by the operation of Hydro's integrated system;

Be it further resolved that: Manitoba Hydro remain publicly owned and committed to working in a spirit of collaboration with all Hydro-impacted communities to redress the losses of Aboriginal cultural and economic heritage in Hydro-affected lands in Manitoba;

Be it further resolved that: Manitoba Hydro and the Government of Manitoba provide local and regional economic opportunities that do not appropriate Indigenous knowledge.

Be it further resolved that: Manitoba Hydro, the Government of Manitoba, and the Government of Canada, and implement the Northern Flood Agreement.

2018 – I Supporting a National Housing Strategy

Mover: Cooperative Housing Development Group

Whereas: A majority of federal housing agreements for Canada's housing cooperatives and non-profit housing providers are scheduled to end between 2014 and 2021.

Whereas: Non-profit and cooperative housing is the most cost-efficient form of providing affordable and social housing.

Whereas: Community-based housing providers like non-profits and cooperatives give vulnerable households (including seniors, single parent families, newcomers, people living with disabilities and Indigenous Peoples) stable and secure homes.

Whereas: The Government of Canada has, on multiple occasions, stated its intention to address the sustainability of affordable and social housing providers exiting operating agreements, the vulnerability of low-income subsidy recipients who rely on that housing, and the construction of new affordable and social housing units.

Be It Resolved That: : the Province of Manitoba engage with the Government of Canada as a full and willing partner in the National Housing Strategy with an aim to:

- a. Deliver a new cost-shared rent subsidy to replace expiring federal subsidies;
- b. Work with community partners in the non-profit and cooperative sector to maintain the viability of existing affordable and social housing providers; and
- c. Provide financial support on a cost-shared basis for the construction of new affordable and social housing units in Manitoba.

2018 – 2 Supporting Employment Partnerships for Indigenous Job Seekers Facing Multiple Barriers

Mover: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives Manitoba

Whereas: Many Manitobans who face barriers to employment are not participating in Manitoba's workforce, including Indigenous individuals, newcomers and persons with disabilities. This presents an opportunity to both bolster Manitoba's labour market, and support marginalized individuals to break the cycle of poverty.

Whereas: Historical and ongoing systemic discrimination against Indigenous peoples has contributed to exceptionally high rates of unemployment in some Manitoban Indigenous communities. In Manitoba, the unemployment rate for off-reserve Indigenous Manitobans was 10.2%, as compared to 6.2% for non-Indigenous Manitobans (2015). This challenge warrants Indigenous-specific solutions.

Whereas: While there are successful existing approaches to improving labour market outcomes for Indigenous people with barriers to employment, these have not succeeded for portions of the population, with documented challenges in being overly government-centered and not appreciating the necessity of place-based modelling.

Whereas: Surveys have demonstrated Manitoba employers want to recruit and hire Indigenous Manitobans, but are unsure how to successfully do so. One issue employers have identified is the need for cultural awareness education for businesses.

Whereas: The Winnipeg Poverty Reduction Council (WPRC) has undertaken a plan of action called TRC92: Youth Employment, named after the 92nd Call to Action in the 2015 *National Truth and Reconciliation Commission Report*. The WPRC has established an 'Employer Consortium', which brings together 10 private sector employers for business-to-business learning as they embark on this call to action.

Whereas: Canada's labour force growth is slowing due to an ageing population. It is estimated that closing the labour-force participation gap for Indigenous people would add as much as a fifth of the growth in labour force in the next 20 years.

Whereas: Canada's Indigenous population is young and is the fastest growing population in the country. Over the next decade, about 400,000 young Indigenous people are expected to join the close to 900,000 Indigenous people already at working age.

Whereas: There is a gap in services to support long-term success in the labour market for individuals facing barriers to employment. Notably, both employers and job seekers often require supports for a longer period than just the initial hire or match. This gap could be filled by an entity dedicated to supporting employers and multi-barriered job seekers through a transition phase. Caseworkers would simplify bringing together employers, training organizations and supportive resources through a single point of contact that stayed with the employee throughout their efforts entering the labour market.

Whereas: Community-based organizations have established strong relationships with the communities they serve. This model of community ownership should be replicated when supporting multi-barriered individuals after entering the labour market.

Whereas: Investments and initiatives to support labour market outcomes for Indigenous communities should be Indigenous-led and culturally appropriate if they are to be most impactful.

Whereas: More than 80 organizations have signed onto the City of Winnipeg's Indigenous Accord, committing to reconciliation through the *TRC 94 Calls to Action*.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba, in its participation in the Forum of Labour Market Ministers, has identified and agreed on the need of increasing labour market outcomes for Indigenous peoples.

Whereas: The Department of Municipal Relations has been mandated to make Manitoba the most improved province in partnership initiatives with business and communities.

Whereas: The Department of Families has been mandated to support business, social and community partnerships in tackling complex poverty.

Whereas: The TRC's Call To Action #92 calls "upon the corporate sector in Canada to ensure that Aboriginal peoples have equitable access to jobs, training, and education opportunities in the corporate sector, and provide education for management and staff on the history of Aboriginal peoples, including the history and legacy of residential schools, the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, Treaties and Aboriginal rights, Indigenous law, and Aboriginal-Crown relations. This will require skills based training in intercultural competency, conflict resolution, human rights, and anti-racism."

Whereas: Initiatives that support sustained employment for people with barriers to employment contribute to fairer and stronger local economies, reduced poverty and safer communities.

Be It Resolved That: the Province of Manitoba provide the necessary resources and policy supports to organizations and initiatives providing long-term supports to Indigenous job seekers facing multiple barriers to employment and employers committed to inclusive hiring.

2018 – 3 Rent Supplements, RGI and Transitioning to Rent Assist

Mover: Cooperative Housing Federation of Canada

Whereas: A majority of federal housing agreements for Canada's housing cooperatives are scheduled to end between 2014 and 2021, and rent-geared-to-income (RGI) rent supplements typically expire with these operating agreements.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba has expanded the Rent Assist Program (linked to 75% of Median Market Rent) as its base rent supplement offering to non-profit and cooperative housing providers that are exiting operating agreements.

Whereas: Effective July 1, 2017 the social housing RGI rate has increased to 28% (from 25% - 27%) on lease agreements for new households and effective Nov. 1, 2017 the rate increased to 28% on existing tenant lease renewals.

Whereas: KPMG's Manitoba Fiscal Performance Review identifies that from 1992-2015 Median Household Income has gone up 15% while average rent on a two-bedroom apartment has increased 86%.

Whereas: KPMG's Manitoba Fiscal Performance Review further identified that the average recipient transitioning from an RGI subsidy to Rent Assist will be required to pay an addition \$3302 in annual rent, representing a 75% increase over the recipient's current portion.

Whereas: Such a rent increase to low-income households would result in undue hardship, forcing families from their homes and communities into substandard and overcrowded housing.

Be It Resolved That: the Province of Manitoba deploy any resources available (including cost-sharing agreements under the National Housing Strategy) to ensure that the present recipients of rent-geared-to-income receive support that is introduced in a timely and seamless manner and is equal in both depth and breadth to the support they currently receive.

2018 – 4 Community Led Development: Reducing Red Tape and Increasing Value for Money *

Mover: Spence Neighbourhood Association

Whereas: Complex community challenges like unemployment, urban and rural decline, poverty, social exclusion and environmental degradation require comprehensive responses, and that those responses have proven to be most effective and sustainable when they are community-led.

Whereas: Many community-based organizations working toward poverty reduction, environmental sustainability and community renewal do not have access to multi-year funding that they require to take innovative, long-term, strategic and comprehensive action.

Whereas: When community development receives funding from the Province of Manitoba, it is primarily through the Department of Municipal Relations. Most urban community development is funded through the Neighbourhoods Alive! program.

Whereas: Short-term funding from multiple sources creates an administrative burden that can result in inefficiencies and less time to engage the community in the long-term thinking, planning and action that is needed to achieve long-term results.

Whereas: Short-term funding tends to provide insecure, low-paying front line jobs with inadequate benefits that makes it difficult to attract and retain qualified staff, leads to turnover and diminishes organizational capacity and stability.

Whereas: Evaluations have demonstrated that multi-year, streamlined funding has helped community-based organizations to be more efficient and effective. Streamlined, multi-year funding improves long-term planning and acting, attracting and retaining quality staff, and overall organizational capacity, stability and efficiency.

Whereas: Flexible funding programs allow community-based organizations to leverage additional resources from non-government sources or the different levels of government that address community-prioritized challenges and opportunities.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba has demonstrated a trend towards shorter contracts with decreasing information about program renewals and criteria, providing limited certainty to community-based non-profit organizations.

Whereas: Problems in process, such as a failure to confirm funding agreement renewals in a timely manner, has left many agencies in a state of uncertainty about how to maintain operational sustainability.

Whereas: Multi-year, streamlined funding for community-based organizations will help protect frontline services and the jobs of the workers who deliver these services, as well as bringing greater value for money to government investments.

Whereas: In January 2017, the Province of Manitoba launched a Red Tape Reduction Task Force, with a specific focus on non-profits.

Whereas: There is no short-term solution for transforming social, economic and environmental conditions in Manitoba's struggling communities. Community organizations doing this work require sustained, long-term funding that enables them to take corresponding long-term and strategic action.

** Moved to replace policy resolution 2017 – 2 Investing in Community Led Development*

Be It Resolved That: the Province of Manitoba support community-led development with multi-year, streamlined funding with the following characteristics:

- a. A targeted approach that identifies and invests in key neighbourhoods and communities in the greatest need of physical, social and economic revitalization;**
- b. Multi-year agreements to a maximum of five years, including cost of living increases on an annual basis; and**
- c. Creating effective communication channels between the Province of Manitoba and community-based organizations, allowing agencies to provide feedback and make recommendations, as well as providing agencies with a year's notice of renewal decisions, thereby ensuring agencies have sufficient time to plan.**

2018 – 5 Energy Efficiency and Social Enterprise

Mover: Wa Ni Ska Tan Hydro Alliance

Whereas: There are thousands of Manitobans with barriers to employment who stand to benefit from the training and employment opportunities provided by social enterprises.

Whereas: Manitoba Hydro has announced its intention to seek rate increases of 47% in the next 5 years, which will negatively impact electric heating customers, many of whom are on already economically marginalized First Nations in Manitoba.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba's proposed carbon tax will increase the cost of natural gas, having an impact on low income families in mostly urban areas.

Whereas: There are approximately 50,000 urban, rural and on-reserve homes that could benefit from energy- and water-efficiency retrofits. Energy and water retrofits in these homes would save homeowners, the Province of Manitoba and the Federal government money while creating employment. Retrofits would include improved insulation, ground source heat pumps (geothermal), high efficient gas furnaces and low-flow toilet and showerheads. Replacements to housing stock that is beyond repair should meet Passive House building standards for energy use and air quality.*

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba has committed to develop Efficiency Manitoba, a stand-alone demand side management agency to help Manitobans become more energy efficient. The Public Utilities Board will be responsible for setting targets and establishing how Efficiency Manitoba will operate. Without a mandate to implement strategies that target these high-value opportunities in low-income communities, including First Nations, these opportunities will be missed.

Whereas: Community enterprise is one of four approaches in the Province of Manitoba's Look North Economic Task Force Report and Action Plan, including building and supporting a local social enterprise and NGO sector. Furthermore, this report and action plan articulates the increased value of targeting procurement to build local skills and capacities.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba launched A Made-in-Manitoba Climate and Green Plan, which highlights the importance of local innovations, local decision making and not-for-profit partners in achieving a sustainable economy in Manitoba.

Whereas: Initiatives and policy that improve environmental sustainability in Manitoban communities can leverage more value for money if a community economic development lens is applied, such as engaging social enterprises who train and hire people facing barriers to employment for Manitoba's green jobs.

Be It Resolved That: in developing Efficiency Manitoba, the Province of Manitoba and the Public Utilities Board:

- a. Ensure that the improved demand side management program has a strong mandate and adequate resources to develop and implement separate strategies to create job opportunities through energy efficiency efforts in low-income neighbourhoods and in First Nations.**
- b. Ensure that energy efficiency strategies are accompanied by targets and timelines, including targets for the number of units to be retrofitted annually by social enterprises.**
- c. Establish long term partnerships with social enterprises and community organizations to accomplish their energy efficiency goals.**

2018 – 6 Enabling Social Finance in Manitoba

Mover: SEED Winnipeg

Whereas: The Winnipeg Social Finance Working Group (WSFWG) has been gathering since 2016 to identify gaps, challenges and opportunities in the financing ecosystem for the social economy, including non-profits, cooperatives and social enterprises. Its membership is comprised of the Aboriginal Council of Winnipeg, Assiniboine Credit Union, the Canadian CED Network Manitoba, the Jubilee Fund, Local Investment Toward Employment, the Manitoba Cooperative Association, SEED Winnipeg, the United Way Winnipeg, The Winnipeg Foundation and the University of Winnipeg Community Renewal Corporation.

Whereas: There are more than 400 cooperatives, credit unions, and caisses populaires in Manitoba, comprising of over 900,000 memberships and more than \$22 billion in assets. Cooperatives are a more sustainable business model given their collective ownership and community commitment; 62% of new co-ops are still operating after five years, compared with 35% for other new businesses. After 10 years, the figures are 44% and 20% respectively.

Whereas: In 2013, social enterprises in Manitoba earned at least \$63.6 million in revenues, including \$43.9 million in sales of goods and services. Social enterprises provided employment for at least 4,450 workers, who earned more than \$34.4 million in wages and salaries.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba is engaged in social finance through the Community Enterprise Development Tax Credit, operating subsidies for community finance organizations, as well as a commitment to implement Social Impact Bonds.

Whereas: The Cooperative Loans and Loan Guarantee Board and the Neighbourhoods Alive! Tax Credit are no longer available, which has created an additional gap in financing options for the social economy.

Whereas: Due to differences in structure and ownership, cooperatives, non-profits and social enterprises have particular challenges that are not well addressed by the existing mainstream financial sector.

Whereas: Access to the appropriate capital and financing is essential to the sustainability and success of any organization. Social enterprises and non-profit organizations may need support navigating and stacking financing and grant programs to launch or expand their operations.

Whereas: There is both opportunity and risk for all parties involved in financing, however this is not always fairly distributed throughout the relationship.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba can play a role as a market enabler and developer, and can co-design policy and models with community stakeholders to ensure investments and policy target strategic priorities and provide for a fair sharing of risk and return.

Whereas: As of October 2017, the Province of Manitoba was conducting a review of existing tax credits as a part of its Access to Capital Strategy.

Whereas: In Budget 2017/18, the Province of Manitoba highlighted “Front-Line Services Through Innovative Partnerships”, acknowledging a continued commitment to social enterprise and social procurement.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba has committed to implementing Social Impact Bonds, a financing tool to use non-government sources of capital to invest in preventive interventions that provide savings to government.

2018 – 7 Food Councils

Mover: Food Matters Manitoba

Whereas: There are significant opportunities in Manitoba to enhance food security, engage communities in food solutions, respond to changing urban, rural, and northern environments and create economic opportunities.

Whereas: Food economies and food programming are key components of vibrant, healthy communities.

Whereas: 12.2% of households in Manitoba are food insecure, meaning they do not have enough money to buy adequate food; 21.7% of Manitoba children live in food insecure households.

Whereas: Chronic diseases related to diet cost our province billions of dollars, with the cost of diabetes alone estimated to be \$498 million in 2010, and expected to reach \$639 million by 2020.

Whereas: Provincial and local governments can play a key role in facilitating and planning for food secure communities and developing enabling regulatory structures.

Whereas: More than 60 Canadian municipalities, including Winnipeg and Brandon, have developed food councils and/or food strategies, fostering cooperation between government departments and community agencies and encouraging citizen involvement in the food system.

Whereas: Successful food councils and food strategies require adequate resources, including funding from provincial and local governments.

Whereas: The Department of Health has grown to represent 39% of the Province of Manitoba's expenditures, at \$6.8 billion in Budget 2018/2019. Food insecurity and diet-related chronic disease contribute to a significant portion of these expenditures.

Whereas: The City of Winnipeg has created a Winnipeg Food Council and selected its membership. The City has yet to resource the council.

Whereas: Comprehensive food strategies strengthen food security, improve health and create economic opportunities for producers and processors in a strong local food economy.

Be It Resolved That: the Province of Manitoba and Manitoba municipalities create and adequately resource municipal food councils mandated to develop and implement local food strategies in partnership with civil society and food system stakeholders.

Be it Further Resolved That: the City of Winnipeg commit \$175,000 annually to operate the Winnipeg Food Council (Coordinator: \$60,000; Administrative Supports: \$40,000; Operating budget: \$75,000).

Be it Further Resolved That: the Province of Manitoba create and adequately resource a provincial food council mandated to develop and implement a provincial food strategy in partnership with civil society and food system stakeholders.

2018 – 8 Increasing Value in Programming & Policy through Co-Creation & Consultation

Mover: Spence Neighbourhood Association

Whereas: Co-creation refers to the practice of bringing multiple stakeholders together to develop policy, programming and practice that will impact the community or stakeholders in question. This is in contrast to top-down, bureaucratic methods for developing policy and programming.

Whereas: Consultation refers to the process by which citizens and stakeholders are engaged to influence the development of policy and programming. Meaningful consultation includes, but is not limited to, these key elements: it follows a process that all participants and stakeholders understand; it identifies roles and responsibilities of different partners; it is clear on how feedback will influence action and decision making; and it reports back to those consulted with the results of the consultation.

Whereas: Meaningful consultation is especially important to include the participation of vulnerable populations that have traditionally been excluded from political and economic processes.

Whereas: There is a growing number of examples where the co-creation of policy and programming has increased the value for money received by government and the target community or sector.

Whereas: Too often, the end-users or stakeholders affected by policy and programming decisions are rendered entirely passive in their design and implementation process. The consequence of this is that high-value and/or grassroots expertise is missed, thereby decreasing the efficacy and ultimately value of government investments.

Whereas: The challenge of policy making that does not meaningfully engage stakeholders is compounded when it intersects with marginalized and dispossessed communities. Socio-economic barriers that challenge participation in our communities also apply to advocacy and engagement with government decision-making.

Whereas: Manitobans should have a direct say in decisions that directly affect them. By using grassroots community organizations in design, planning, and policy we can ensure that decisions made by governments in Manitoba are guided by those who know the issues the best.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba and Manitoba municipalities can increase the impact and value of their policy and programming decisions by applying a co-creation framework.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba has promoted the value of local decision-making for government strategies and policy, including in A Made-in-Manitoba Climate & Green Plan, and Look North Economic Task Force Report & Action Plan.

Whereas: The Manitoba Social Enterprise Strategy (2015 - 2018) and the Manitoba Cooperative Community Strategic Plan (2014-2019, cancelled 2016) are local examples of co-created and co-implemented policy and programming. In both cases, policy and programming was targeted at the highest value-for-money opportunities for sector practitioners.

Whereas: Co-creation processes that support local decision making and community ownership are a key component to sustainable, inclusive communities and economies.

Be It Resolved That: the Province of Manitoba and Manitoba municipalities adopt a co-creation framework, bringing together affected stakeholders for developing policy and programming decisions.

Be it Further Resolved That: in instances where co-creation is not a viable model, the Province of Manitoba and Manitoba municipalities will undertake meaningful consultation that includes these characteristics:

- a. It follows a process that all participants and stakeholders understand and agree upon a reasonable timeline to participate;
- b. It identifies roles and responsibilities of different partners;
- c. It is clear on how and to what degree feedback will influence action and decision making; and
- d. It reports back to those consulted with the results of the consultation.

2017 – 1 Affordable & Social Housing

Mover: Right to Housing Coalition

Whereas: Although vacancy rates in Manitoba's cities are creeping up (Winnipeg's vacancy rate for a two bedroom apartment has gone from less than 1% to 3.2%, Thompson's from .2% to 2.2% and Brandon's from less than .1% to 2.5%), many Manitobans continue to experience an affordable housing crisis. Far too many households spend 50% or more of their income on rent, putting them at significant risk of losing their housing, their health and their spirit.

Whereas: Federal tax structures and the lack of a national housing strategy have, over the past 40 years, slowed the creation of social and affordable rental housing. Our existing stock is eroding through aging, demolition, expiring federal operating agreements and conversion to condominium, and is not being adequately replaced. These factors have escalated rents to make them unaffordable to a growing number of people, especially the most vulnerable (those on a fixed income, persons with disabilities, newcomers, women and Indigenous people).

Whereas: Affordable housing is a key piece of neighbourhood renewal and a necessary foundation for neighbourhood and family stabilization. As housing costs increase, people are forced to spend food money on rent, making personal debt and food banks sad necessities for survival. When housing needs are met, children do not need to move from school to school, parents have reduced stress and the means to provide the necessities for their families (food, winter clothing, transport, school supplies, etc.).

Whereas: All three levels of government have to play a role in affordable and social housing. The Province of Manitoba and the City of Winnipeg can be proactive in working with the federal government as it implements and funds its national housing strategy.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba can add to the supply of social and affordable housing through new builds and new subsidies, however, it has no current commitment to increase supply. Rent Assist continues to be available to support low-income Manitobans in private rental housing. The Province can also help build the capacity of community organizations to take advantage of funding opportunities to create new social housing. Ongoing resources for capital repairs and maintenance in public housing units are needed to preserve the existing stock, as are investments in addressing expiring federal operating agreements. Public housing tenants require access to resources and opportunities (e.g. mental health services, literacy training, job training, education and childcare) that enable them to maintain their tenancy, meet their basic needs and improve their quality of life.

Whereas: The City of Winnipeg passed the Housing Policy Implementation Plan in 2014. It commits to the creation of 750 new rental units only 10% of which are to be affordable. Other Canadian cities are developing affordable rental housing at a significantly higher rate.

Whereas: The City Of Winnipeg is committed to supporting neighbourhood renewal and the creation of affordable housing through the Housing Rehabilitation Investment Reserve (HRIR), however, the HRIR budget allocation is not sufficient to address the current rental housing shortage. The HRIR provides funding for housing development and renewal to the five Housing Improvement Zones. The Housing Policy Implementation Plan will expand access to funding for other neighbourhoods. Significant increases to the HRIR allocation are required to ensure the City has sufficient capacity to support neighbourhood renewal and affordable housing

development.

Whereas: The City of Winnipeg has developed two documents that direct it to be more proactive in housing and that outline how this could be achieved: Innovative Practices in the Provision of Affordable Housing and the Complete Communities Direction Strategy, part of the OurWinnipeg development plan.

Whereas: The City has senior staff and City Council representation on the board of the autonomous Winnipeg Housing and Rehabilitation Corporation (WHRC), which develops, renovates, owns, and manages affordable housing primarily in Winnipeg's inner city.

Whereas: The City of Brandon passed an Affordable Housing First policy for surplus land disposal. A significant constraint for the development of additional affordable housing is the availability of reasonably priced residential land. Brandon's policy can help ensure that full priority is given to mobilizing potential partners for the creation of affordable housing on surplus land before the property is disposed of through traditional market means.

Whereas: Providing housing is cost effective compared to the costs associated with homelessness. It costs on average \$48,000 a year to leave someone on the street versus \$28,000 a year to house them. Long-term social housing is one of the most cost-efficient ways to house someone. Furthermore, housing can be used to create employment and training opportunities for people commonly shut out of the workforce, including social housing tenants. This helps generate new tax revenues and keeps money circulating in the local economy.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet Manitoba continue to work with the Right to Housing Coalition to ensure that:

- a. The City of Winnipeg supports Provincial and National affordable housing initiatives by using the planning, regulatory and financial tools and powers at its disposal to support affordable rental housing and social housing.
- b. The City of Winnipeg continues to fund the Housing Rehabilitation and Investment Reserve or subsequent relevant budget reserve and develop a regular and transparent process for reviewing and adjusting its size to ensure it adequately meets housing needs in Winnipeg.
- c. Municipal governments in Manitoba regulate the conversion of rental stock to condominiums when vacancy rates fall below 4%.
- d. The City should provide the WHRC with a stronger mandate and increased resources to bring multiple levels of government together to initiate and coordinate the funding and development of new affordable and social housing options throughout Winnipeg.
- e. The Province of Manitoba and all Manitoba municipalities establish a policy for all surplus land disposals that ensures non-profit and cooperative housing providers are prioritized for receiving surplus land for the purpose of creating affordable housing.
- f. The Province of Manitoba eliminates the further erosion of rent-geared-to-income housing, such that the existing commitment to annually create 500 each of new social and affordable housing units represents a net increase in social housing, while also planning for further net increases, beyond these existing commitments, to the supply of affordable and social rental housing province-wide for those most in need.
- g. The Province of Manitoba continue to make Rent Assist available to low-income Manitobans on and off of EIA

and return the regulatory formula for deductible levels to pre-2017 numbers for all Rent Assist recipients.

h. The Province of Manitoba make annual investments of at least \$126M to meet the estimated need for capital repairs and maintenance in public housing units by 2020.

i. The Province of Manitoba ensure that all Manitoba Housing tenants have access to tenant-driven resource centres that offer comprehensive supports either on site or nearby.

j. The Province of Manitoba builds the capacity of non-profit and co-op housing providers to create new social housing projects in order to ensure that communities in most need of housing have improved access to housing funding.

k. The Province of Manitoba lobbies its provincial counterparts and the federal government to continue to support and fund a national housing strategy, including a legislated right to housing.

2017 – 3 Enhancing Income Supports as a part of a Comprehensive Poverty Reduction Plan*

Mover: Social Planning Council of Winnipeg

Whereas: Far too many Manitobans continue to live with inadequate incomes and face multiple barriers, which impacts their health and wellbeing, and prevents their full participation in the community. Indigenous people, newcomers, people with a disability, people who experience mental illness, single parents, seniors, women, Two Spirit, transgender, transsexual, gender variant and non-binary people are more likely to live in poverty.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba passed The Poverty Reduction Strategy Act in 2011 and launched *ALL Aboard: Manitoba's Poverty Reduction and Social Inclusion Strategy* in 2012, which included seven priority areas for action between 2012 and 2016 and a suite of 21 indicators to track progress. Legislation requires the Strategy to be updated in 2017. Budget 2016 notes the new provincial government's commitment to develop a comprehensive plan to address poverty as part of Budget 2017.

Whereas: A comprehensive and integrated approach must be taken to effectively address the multiple and inter-related causes and consequences of poverty and social exclusion. Such an approach is outlined in *The View from Here 2015*, a community-based poverty reduction plan for Manitoba that was endorsed by more than 100 organizations. It calls for investments in food security, childcare, housing, job training, wages, income supports, education, mental health and other social services.

Whereas: Make Poverty History Manitoba (MPHM) is a collaborative coalition working for the elimination of poverty in Manitoba. It is leading a campaign based on community consultation that is calling on the Province of Manitoba to:

- Include a comprehensive poverty reduction plan in Budget 2017. It is also calling for this plan to be developed in consultation with community and to include targets and timelines for reducing poverty.
- Ensure that Manitoba's comprehensive poverty reduction plan include an increase to the basic needs benefit in Budget 2017.

Whereas: EIA's basic needs budget has barely increased in two decades while the cost of living has gone up by more than 40 percent. Single adults and persons with mental or physical disabilities on EIA have not been supported as much as families, and live in deep poverty with total incomes that equal 53% and 68% of the poverty line, respectively.

Whereas: Replacing EIA's basic needs budget with a new and enhanced portable benefit for low-income Manitobans, on and off EIA, could bring the total incomes of all Manitobans to at least 75% of the poverty line. This enhanced benefit would target single adults and persons with a physical or mental disability as families already have total incomes above 75% of the poverty line.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet Manitoba:

- Endorse Make Poverty History Manitoba's campaign urging the Province of Manitoba to increase the basic needs benefit through a new and enhanced portable benefit for people on and off EIA, as part of a comprehensive provincial poverty reduction plan.**
- Advance this campaign at every available opportunity.**
- Encourage CCEDNet Manitoba members to consider endorsing the campaign.**

* Moved to replace resolution 2016-3 Endorsement of the "Make Poverty History Manitoba" 2016 Provincial Election Campaign

2017 – 4 The Cooperative Loans & Loan Guarantee Board & Housing Co-ops

Moved by: Cooperative Housing Development Group

Whereas: There are more than 45 housing cooperatives in Manitoba providing more than 2,700 families with safe, affordable homes.

Whereas: Housing cooperatives are much more affordable than average private sector rental costs and create more permanent community assets. They also offer housing security through democratic decision-making by co-op members and build capacity in members through their participation in the governance structure.

Whereas: Manitoba has continued to see the development of new housing cooperatives that provide quality affordable housing on a non-profit and democratic basis and that such recent developments have required a more innovative approach to financing which includes a higher threshold of member participation through purchase of shares.

Whereas: Conventional credit union financing requires a level of confirmed share purchase that exceeds 80% and often approaches 100% of the target before construction can begin; credit unions have taken a leadership role in financing cooperatives.

Whereas: The Cooperative Loans and Loan Guarantees Board exists to provide “financing of last resort” to assist viable cooperatives in their expansion or development but historically housing cooperatives have not been able to access guarantees.

Whereas: The Cooperative Loans and Loans Guarantees Board recently provided bridge financing in the form of a guarantee to the Springfield Seniors Non-Profit Housing Cooperative, and that financing successfully accelerated the construction of 47 units of housing at negligible risk or cost to the Province of Manitoba or the credit union.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet Manitoba urge the Cooperative Loans and Loan Guarantees Board entrench the inclusion of non-profit housing cooperatives within their eligibility criteria, and maintain this practice as a cost effective means to reducing barriers to the development of affordable housing. Give special attention to opportunities for social enterprises (for example, BUILD and Aki Energy) where dollars spent have a higher social impact by making employment more inclusive.

2017 – 5 Elderly & Infirm Persons Housing Act & Tax Treatment

Moved by: The Co-op Housing Development Group

Whereas: Social housing saves public money through reduced health, social services, and justice system costs and gives low-income people the ability to live in secure and permanent housing.

Whereas: The provincial government passed the *Elderly and Infirm Person's Housing (E&IPH) Act* in order to provide financial assistance to social housing projects for Manitoba's low and moderate income seniors.

Whereas: This legislation provides an exemption from school tax for eligible E&IPH projects.

Whereas: The provincial assessment department classifies E&IPH projects as "Institutional" rather than "Residential."

Whereas: This reclassification increases the rate of municipal tax for E&IPH projects by 44%.

Whereas: This increase in municipal tax offsets to a large degree the savings due to the exemption in school tax.

Be it Resolved That: CCEDNet Manitoba urge the Province of Manitoba to permit E&IPH housing projects to retain their "Residential" classification and to recommend that the City of Winnipeg do likewise.

2017 – 6 Organics Disposal Ban for Manitoba's Capital Region

Mover: Green Action Centre

Whereas: In signing the Vancouver Declaration, Premier Pallister has committed Manitoba to implement greenhouse gas mitigation policies that meet or exceed Canada's 2030 target of a 30% reduction below 2005 levels of emissions.

Whereas: The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change indicates that the global warming potential (GWP) of methane is 28-36 times greater than carbon dioxide over a 100-year period, and the GWP of nitrous oxide is nearly 300 times that of carbon dioxide.

Whereas: Manitoba's two largest emitters of greenhouse gases are Koch Fertilizer Plant and Brady Road Resource Management Facility. Chemical fertilizers release nitrous oxide into the atmosphere, and the anaerobic decomposition of organic matter in landfills releases methane into the atmosphere. Aerobic composting not only eliminates methane emissions, it creates a natural fertilizer that sequesters carbon from the atmosphere.

Whereas: Statistics Canada and the Compost Council of Canada indicate that up to 50% of the residential waste stream is compostable. Per capita, Canadians are one of the highest waste-producing OECD countries, and Manitobans are the third highest waste producers in this country, exceeded only by Alberta and Saskatchewan.

Whereas: There is a strong correlation between provincial policies and municipal action when it comes to the management of organics. Nova Scotia has the lowest per capita waste generation rate among the Canadian provinces, thanks to a full organics ban introduced in 1998 that diverts all compostable material (including yard waste and food scraps) from the landfill. In the absence of a full provincial organics ban, a regional ban can provide crucial leadership without insisting that a one-size-fits-all approach can address the unique requirements for composting in northern regions of Manitoba.

Whereas: The Manitoba Capital Region includes 16 municipalities and represents roughly two-thirds of the province's population. The Government of Manitoba has a strong commitment to the Manitoba Capital Region, and the Partnership of the Manitoba Capital Region has identified two goals that are directly compatible with an organics ban: i) explore opportunities to implement new technologies and practices for waste management, and ii) reduce greenhouse gases.

Whereas: Winnipeg is the largest city in the Manitoba Capital Region, and the last city of its size in Canada without a residential composting program. Progress on implementing curbside organics collection in Winnipeg has been stalled multiple times, and these delays have indirect costs for taxpayers. Elected officials continue to suggest that composting is an optional route for disposal, rather than an urgent necessity for mitigating the impacts of climate change.

Whereas: The Federation for Canadian Municipalities estimates that composting creates up to seven times the number of jobs as landfilling. As long as composting organics remains optional, most businesses will continue to send compostable waste to the landfill. This discourages the development of composting businesses and social enterprises that can support municipalities by serving the industrial, commercial and institutional (ICI) sector.

Whereas: Local communities and businesses have been diverting organics from the Brady Road Resource Management Facility for at least 15 years, providing employment and improving community volunteerism, yet these efforts are rarely supported by provincial and municipal policies or funding. Requests for waste management proposals continue to rely on outside expertise, stunting the growth of local expertise within existing community and non-profit organizations, social enterprises and small businesses.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet Manitoba urge the Province of Manitoba to announce a deadline of 2020 for a full organics disposal ban in the Manitoba Capital Region.

Be It Further Resolved That: CCEDNet Manitoba urge the Province of Manitoba to support the transition to improved organics management by:

- a. Offering a financial incentive to approved transfer stations and facilities that compost organic material in the Manitoba Capital Region.
- b. Introducing and enforcing a phased fine for any organic material that is landfilled in the Manitoba Capital Region after 2020, with an initial threshold of 25% organic matter landfilled and decreasing to 5% by 2023.
- c. Making municipal waste management plans that include the diversion and composting of organic materials mandatory for the Manitoba Capital Region.
- d. Creating a provincial waste management plan that builds on the community expertise gathered in earlier government consultations.
- e. Implementing policies, funding and social procurement programs to support small businesses, social enterprises, and community initiatives that meet environmental, social and economic goals (i.e. diverting organics from landfills, improving community sustainability resources and providing local training and jobs).

2017 – 7 Gender-Based Analysis Framework

Moved by: West Central Women's Resource Centre

Whereas: Women make up 50.2% of Manitoba's population and yet remain significantly underrepresented as elected officials, making up approximately one quarter at all political levels (25% civic, 23% provincial and 26% federal). Women are underrepresented in senior management positions within Manitoba's civil service. They have lower employment rates than men across most age brackets and average weekly earnings that were 76.8% the earnings of men (2014).

Whereas: Women have higher poverty rates (according to the LICO-AT) than men across most age categories and family types. The gender gap is most significant among single Manitobans under 65 years of age – with poverty rates of 22.7% for males and 39.1% for females (2011), and with 57% of single women under 65 living with incomes that are 50% or more below the poverty line compared to 39% of men. Among all family types, female lone-parent families were the most likely to live in poverty with a poverty rate of 34.3%. High rates of poverty and low employment rates are more pronounced for racialized women. The rate of violent crime against women in Manitoba is double the national average and the second highest rate in the country.

Whereas: Women contribute more than double the amount of hours per week than men in unpaid labour both inside and outside the home (50.1 hours for women vs. 24.4 hours per men), including child care, senior care and volunteer work, making valuable contributions to the provincial economy.

Whereas: A gender-based analysis (GBA) framework can improve socio-economic outcomes for women by ensuring that women are taken into consideration in all government policies, programs and legislation. It can lead to greater investment in initiatives that benefit women. Women get left behind when government initiatives fail to factor in their unique circumstances. For example, women's access to training, education and employment is impacted by whether or not a GBA framework is applied to childcare policies. Women's safety is impacted by whether or not a GBA framework is applied to violence prevention policies. Women's poverty rates are impacted by whether or not a GBA framework is applied to poverty reduction strategies.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba and Manitoba municipalities do not appear to apply a GBA framework to government policies, programs and legislation.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba has promised to make Manitoba "the most improved province in the country." Applying a GBA framework to all government policies, programs and legislation would improve the lives of women in Manitoba. Winnipeg was the first city in North America to join the UN Women's Safe Cities and Safe Public Spaces Initiative to reduce sexual violence against women and girls.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba does not currently recognize that there is more than just women and men, and there is limited socio-economic data available for these demographics. However, it is known that Two Spirit, transgender, transsexual, gender variant and non-binary identifying individuals experience higher rates of poverty and social exclusion.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet Manitoba urge the Province of Manitoba and all Manitoba municipalities to apply a gender-based analysis framework to all government policies, programs, and legislation.

Be it Further Resolved: That CCEDNet Manitoba urge the Province of Manitoba and all municipalities to recognize Two Spirit, transgender, transsexual, gender variant and non-binary individuals and include in the gender-based analysis.

2017-8 Reducing Emissions

Mover: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives - Manitoba

Whereas: Climate change is a defining issue of our time, imposing high and escalating costs on society over the coming decades and centuries. It requires clear, effective, and decisive action to reduce emissions. The OECD (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development) estimates that every dollar the industrialized world fails to invest in clean energy today will cost more than four dollars down the road.

Whereas: Manitoba is not reducing emissions. Our GHG emissions are approximately 15% higher today than they were in 1990, and 22.3% above the level to comply with the Kyoto Protocol and Manitoba's Bill 15, The Climate Change and Emissions Reduction Act (2014 numbers, Climate Change Connection).

Whereas: Imposing a price on carbon is only one policy mechanism by which to reduce carbon emissions. Many experts, including economist Mark Jaccard, prefer strong regulations that set clear and realistic guidelines for various industries.

Whereas: Notwithstanding the above, Prime Minister Trudeau has aggressively stated that if provinces don't impose a price on carbon, the federal government will impose its own price by 2018. A variety of other jurisdictions around the world have already imposed a price on carbon.

Whereas: Each province has a unique emissions profile and therefore each province must (a) decide what kind of policy it will implement, and (b) how the collected funds will be used. Manitoba's largest sources of emissions include transportation, agriculture, and buildings.

Whereas: While a cap-and-trade system and a carbon tax each have their benefits and drawbacks, a carbon levy (ie. tax) is a flexible, simple, immediate, and scalable tool for the government to put a price on carbon.

Whereas: Revenues collected through a carbon pricing system must be recycled in a way that (a) reduces costs for low-income earners through direct transfers or tax credits, and; (b) helps to deploy existing technology and best practices for emissions reduction; and (c) assists with adaptation, including urban densification strategies, the adoption of consumer electric vehicles, the improvement of best agricultural management practices, and more effective waste management.

Whereas: Emissions reduction presents opportunities to reduce social costs not only in the private sector through waste remediation, but also through inclusive employment and social enterprise.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet — Manitoba work with Transition Winnipeg to urge the Province of Manitoba to:

- a. Consider imposing a carbon levy with a floor of \$30/tonne based on British Columbia's successful model, rising to \$200 over time;**
- b. Complement the carbon tax with a strong set of regulations to reduce emissions from agriculture and transportation;**
- c. Establish a carbon tax credit for Manitobans below the low-income cut-off;**
- d. Recycle the remainder of revenues to deploy low-carbon technology and energy systems that make it easier for the public to reduce their reliance on fossil fuels; and**
- e. Give special attention to opportunities for social enterprises (for example, BUILD and Aki Energy) where dollars spent have a higher social impact by making employment more inclusive.**

2017 – 9 Provincial & Municipal CED Policy Framework & Lens

Mover: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives – Manitoba

Whereas: Manitoban communities face complex challenges including poverty, social exclusion, income inequality, unemployment, urban decline, environmental and ecological degradation and community sustainability. These challenges must be addressed with a holistic and flexible approach. Community economic development (CED) provides that approach.

Whereas: CED is community-led action that creates economic opportunities while enhancing social and environmental conditions. It is flexible in that it allows each community to pursue development strategies that respond to its unique needs and priorities.

Whereas: The objective of a provincial and municipal CED policy framework is to ensure that CED principles are incorporated into provincial and municipal government policies so that policies better respond to the economic, social and environmental needs of local communities. In achieving this objective, a provincial and municipal CED policy framework would promote strong, inclusive, sustainable and resilient Manitoba communities. As part of a provincial and municipal CED policy framework, the following CED principles should, wherever possible, be incorporated into all government policies and programs:

- Use of locally produced goods and services
- Production of goods and services for local use
- Local re-investment of profits
- Long-term employment of local residents
- Local skill development
- Local decision-making
- Public health
- Physical environment
- Neighbourhood stability
- Human dignity
- Interdepartmental and Intergovernmental collaboration

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba has developed a cross-governmental CED Policy Framework and Lens. Manitoba municipalities should adopt and apply a similar CED lens to their policies and programs.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet Manitoba work to strengthen the awareness, understanding and implementation of the CED Policy Framework and Lens across all government departments at the provincial level.

Be it Further Resolved That: CCEDNet Manitoba work to strengthen the awareness, understanding and implementation of the CED Policy Framework and Lens with municipal governments throughout Manitoba.

2017 – 10 CED Tax Credit

Mover: Peg City Car Co-op

Whereas: Communities acting together to create local economic opportunities that also enhance social conditions and services in their communities face the challenge of access to capital in order to pursue their CED initiatives.

Whereas: Locally and collectively-owned enterprises are responsive to local priorities, are more likely to be committed to serving the community rather than simply serving profit and have a much higher rate of sustainability. For instance, 62 per cent of new co-ops are still operating after five years, compared with 35 per cent for other new businesses. After ten years, the figures are 44 per cent and 20 per cent respectively.

Whereas: Collectively-owned community enterprises create economic democracy, root ownership locally, distribute profits equitably and often create jobs and services in communities where they are otherwise lacking.

Whereas: Various financing models catered to local contexts are succeeding in supporting CED initiatives across Canada. Some include the mobilization of individuals as investors and the provision of equity investments with financial incentives. A 2013 report by CCEDNet Manitoba analyzes the need for the CED Tax Credit and provides the recommendations below.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba has created the CED Tax Credit that has been successfully used to support approximately one dozen community enterprises around Manitoba, with investments of approximately \$2.25 million as of 2012, and there is more opportunity to facilitate more private investment in community initiatives.

Whereas: There is insufficient support for community groups to apply for the Manitoba CED Tax Credit. There is also insufficient education and promotion of the CED Tax Credit such that most co-op, community and economic developers in Manitoba are unaware of its existence and potential.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet Manitoba urge the Province of Manitoba to strengthen the Manitoba CED Tax Credit by:

- a. Streamlining and simplifying the application process for CED Tax Credit status, removing unnecessary administrative challenges and ensuring the responsible office is positioned in an appropriate government department with sufficient capacity and expertise.
- b. Creating and implementing marketing tools in consultation with industry stakeholders to ensure community enterprises know the steps required to effectively apply for CED Tax Credit status as well as to sell shares.
- c. Supporting a central resource housed in the cooperative community to champion the CED Tax Credit, through public promotion, applicant support and increasing capacity within the community economic development network.
- d. Developing a strategy and tools to educate and train co-op developers, existing cooperatives in Manitoba, economic development officers and others involved in business and co-op development in Manitoba to ensure that they are confident in using and supporting the use of the CED Tax Credit model.
- e. Create additional tax incentives for investment term renewals after five and ten years to further encourage investing in community enterprises.

2017 - 11 Strengthening Manitoba's Co-op Sector

Mover: Manitoba Cooperative Association

Whereas: There are more than 400 cooperatives, credit unions and caisses populaires in Manitoba, comprising of over 900,000 memberships and more than \$22 billion in assets. Co operatives are a more sustainable business model given their collective ownership and community commitment; 62 per cent of new co-ops are still operating after five years, compared with 35 per cent for other new businesses. After 10 years, the figures are 44 per cent and 20 per cent respectively.

Whereas: Cooperatives create economic democracy, root ownership locally, distribute profits equitably and often create jobs and services in communities where they are otherwise lacking.

Whereas: Supporting and strengthening the cooperative sector is required to achieve positive results for our economy and our communities.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba currently supports co-op development resources and has successfully partnered with the cooperative sector. Evidence of co-construction and co-implementation of good policy, public education, and co-op development, including under the Co-op Visioning Strategy, has been present in Manitoba.

Whereas: This strategic action plan for co-op development is most effectively rolled out through the sufficiently resourced co-implementation of its vision, policy and action plans.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet Manitoba urge the Province of Manitoba to build upon its commitments to strengthening the co-op community by mobilizing existing resources and developing new resources in full partnership with the co-op sector to best serve the co-op development needs of Manitoba.

2017 – 12 Procurement for Community Benefit

Mover: Aki Energy

Whereas: Social enterprises, cooperatives and non-profits use a business model to generate community benefits: employment, reduced poverty, environmental sustainability, reduced crime, improved health outcomes and more inclusive, strong and sustainable local economies.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba, Manitoba municipalities and the broader public sector (including crown corporations, hospitals, academia and schools) purchase significant amounts of goods and services. Increasing purchases from social enterprises, cooperatives and non-profits will scale up their positive economic, social and environmental outcomes and generate a greater return on investment to government and society.

Whereas: One exceptional example is Manitoba Housing's use of social enterprise for the construction, maintenance and energy efficiency retrofits on Manitoba's affordable housing stock. This practice has created jobs for people with barriers and has begun to break the cycle of intergenerational poverty. At the same time, energy efficiency retrofits have decreased the financial burden of rising energy bills to social housing providers and low-income households, while enhancing the sustainability of our environment. There is an immediate, attainable and cost-effective opportunity to scale these outcomes.

Whereas: The broader public sector purchases significant amounts of food for its institutions and food services. This purchasing can be leveraged to strengthen the Manitoba economy while improving health outcomes and environmental sustainability. Social enterprises, cooperatives and non-profits produce goods and services that the broader public sector purchases, with the additional value of positive economic, social and environmental outcomes.

Whereas: There have been significant cost increases in government departments that can be mitigated by social enterprises, cooperatives and non-profits. For instance, the Department of Justice's annual budget was \$586 million in 2016/17, up from approximately \$300 million in 2006. In 2010, the economic burden of diabetes in Manitoba was estimated to be approximately \$86 million in direct costs and an additional \$412 million in indirect costs.

Whereas: The value of public procurement can be greatly enhanced by intentionally generating economic, social and environmental outcomes. This can be done using the following three tools, or a blend of each:

- Demanding social, environmental and economic outcomes when purchasing goods and services by including them in the bid evaluation process, through tools such as Community Benefit Clauses;
- Purchasing the social, environmental and economic outcomes directly as a program (for instance, funding a social enterprise as a training program that also provides goods and services to government);
- Creating set-asides in purchasing that can only be accessed by social enterprises, cooperatives and/or non-profits.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet Manitoba urge the Province of Manitoba, City of Winnipeg, Manitoba municipalities and the broader public sector to implement procurement strategies that generate additional value through enhanced economic, social and environmental outcomes. These strategies should include tools for achieving the following objectives:

- Increased purchasing from social enterprises, cooperatives and non-profits.**
- Increased purchasing of local and sustainable food.**
- Increased job and training opportunities for people facing barriers to employment.**

2017 – 13 Living/Minimum Wage for all Manitobans

Mover: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives - Manitoba

Whereas: A living wage is the amount needed for a household to meet its basic needs, taking into account government transfers and deductions. It sets a higher test for quality of life than the minimum wage by reflecting what earners in a family really need to earn based on the actual costs of living.

Whereas: Government programs and services such as Rent Assist, child benefits, subsidized child care and affordable public transit play an important role in calculating a living wage. The more generous these government policies and programs are, the less a family requires in wages to achieve a decent standard of living. For example, the provision of universal child care lowers the effective living wage rate. It is incumbent, therefore, on employers to lobby government to provide such services.

Whereas: The living wage in Winnipeg for a family of four with two parents working full time was \$14.07/hour in 2013. An updated calculation of the living wage is expected in early 2017.

Whereas: The living wage alleviates severe financial stress for families by helping to lift them out of poverty and providing a basic level of economic security. Research has shown that paying a living wage has concrete benefits for employers including: reduced absenteeism; increased skill, morale and productivity levels; improved customer satisfaction; and enhanced employer reputations.

Whereas: The Province of Manitoba and Manitoba municipalities have a significant connection with a large number of workers in Manitoba. However not all government employees, either those directly employed or those working for companies that sell goods and services to government, receive a living wage.

Whereas: 140 US cities and a number of municipalities in the United Kingdom have implemented a living wage. New Westminster, BC was the first Canadian city to implement a living wage policy. Recently the City of Vancouver launched a plan to implement a living wage and Quesnel, BC implemented a living wage policy. Both Vancouver's plan and Quesnel's policy include the companies they contract out to. In Manitoba, the Assiniboine Credit Union has adopted a living wage policy that applies to its employees.

Whereas: Paying a living wage is voluntary. By adopting a living wage policy, governments can demonstrate leadership and set an example for other employers in the private sector to emulate on a voluntary basis. This is a different approach compared to the minimum wage, which creates a mandatory 'floor' that every business is legally required to meet. Efforts to raise the minimum wage to at least a living wage are an important part of the discussion about how to end working poverty.

Whereas: From 1999 - 2015 the minimum wage was increased a small amount every October 1st. October 1st, 2016 was the first time in nearly two decades that the minimum wage was not increased. The minimum wage is still not high enough to bring full-time minimum wage workers to the poverty line, according to the Low-Income Cut Off - Before Tax (LICO-BT). Single-parent households are much more likely to live in poverty compared to households with two-parents and two children. Predictable increases to the minimum wage to a level that will bring single-parent households above the poverty line will also close the gap for one-person households and for households with two parents and two children. Predictable increases to the minimum wage ensure that businesses have an opportunity to plan for meeting those increases.

Whereas: Tax measures are needed to assist lower income earners. However, increases to the basic personal income tax exemption, which provide minimum benefit, should not be viewed as an alternative to increasing the minimum wage. The recent increase to the basic personal income tax exemption will provide the lowest income earners with an additional \$16 annually, compared to an additional \$400 that would come from a basic inflationary-adjusted increase to the minimum wage. Minimum wage workers spend their money in the local economy so regular increases to the minimum wage also produce greater benefit to our local economy. Further, the cumulative effect of increasing the basic personal exemption impacts all tax brackets and results in a loss of much needed tax revenue to pay for public services that all Manitobans rely on.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet Manitoba urge the Province of Manitoba and Manitoba municipalities to adopt a Living Wage Policy co-constructed with community stakeholders to ensure their employees and contracted services are paid at least a living wage.

Be it Further Resolved That: CCEDNet Manitoba urge the Province of Manitoba to incrementally increase the minimum wage through a series of pre-announced steps to the LICO-BT for a one-parent, one child household by 2020, and index annually to the LICO-BT.

2017 – 14 Manitoba Social Enterprise Strategy

Mover: Manitoba Green Retrofit

Whereas: Social enterprises strategically use a business model to realize social outcomes such as the creation of jobs for people with barriers to employment, provide important community services, generate revenue for non-profits and are more effective at building fairer, stronger and more sustainable local economies and communities than traditional business models.

Whereas: Social enterprises contribute to economic growth and often prevent costly social and environmental problems.

Whereas: CCEDNet Manitoba co-developed and is co-implementing the Manitoba Social Enterprise Strategy in partnership with the Province of Manitoba. The Strategy is a set of policy and programming recommendations to create a robust ecosystem for work integration social enterprises (social enterprises providing job and training opportunities for people facing barriers to employment). It focuses on:

- Identifying opportunities and mobilizing stakeholders to create new social enterprises.
- Developing management capacity, supporting networks, and enabling knowledge exchange to enhance the effectiveness of social enterprise.
- Ensuring social enterprises can access appropriate funding and financing.
- Expanding access to markets to create more jobs and other economic contributions through social enterprise.

Whereas: The Manitoba Social Enterprise Strategy is a 3-year agreement between CCEDNet Manitoba and the Government of Manitoba (from 2015/16 to 2017/18). Its implementation is guided by a steering committee comprised of government and community leaders and requires \$250,000 per year.

Be It Resolved That: CCEDNet Manitoba urge the Province of Manitoba to support social enterprise development in Manitoba by:

- a. Allocating \$250,000 toward the implementation of the Manitoba Social Enterprise Strategy in 2017/18.**
- b. Allocating other financial and non-financial resources toward co-implementing the Manitoba Social Enterprise Strategy in full partnership with the social enterprise sector.**
- c. Committing to co-creating and co-producing a second phase of the Manitoba Social Enterprise Strategy beginning in 2018/19.**